

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,551	07/11/2003	Robert Baxter Chambers II	133519	4297
Patrick W. Ras	7590 07/22/200 sche	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Tea	sdale	NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
One Metropoli St. Louis, MO	tan Square, Suite 2600 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/617,551	CHAMBERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Van Kim T. Nguyen	2152	

	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2152						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: I flox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) ONLY OFFICE BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHOUT AND ACTION OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH ACTION OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHOUT AND ACTION OF THE FI								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serined patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorroopenang namber or many reje	otou diamio.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-30.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
argument was not persuasive.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 								
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

- Applicant's argued that Klindt describes a PLC CPU module that is coupled to a web server through a backplane (page 9, lines 24-25), and Baker describes a PLC CPU module that is coupled only to a web server, not a web server and database module (page 10, lines 24-25).
- 2. However, since Lindt discloses a web server and database module located outside the network module and including a database configured to store the file (e.g., sever 12 is located outside network module (f., compring FTPHTTP server and flash memory 28, flash memory driver 32, etc., which obviously can be configured to save files; see Figure 1), and since Baker teaches a ACM PCU module that is coupled directly to a web server 30 (Figure 4). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that at the time the invention was made to apply Baker's direct web connection using Klindt's web server and database module, in order to improve network communication traffic and provide faster udatless of network information